

**From:** [Yi, Ji-Sun](#)  
**To:** [Salkie, Diane](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Woodbrook - remedy cost  
**Date:** Thursday, March 31, 2022 4:16:57 PM

---

Ok, thanks for the clarification. I'll check with Christine Poore on how best to handle, if this would go through the \$50M process.

~~~~~

Sun Yi  
Office of Land and Emergency Management  
OSRTI/ARD/SARDB  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
202.566.0969

---

**From:** Salkie, Diane <[Salkie.Diane@epa.gov](mailto:Salkie.Diane@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2022 4:00 PM  
**To:** Yi, Ji-Sun <[Yi.Ji-Sun@epa.gov](mailto:Yi.Ji-Sun@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Woodbrook - remedy cost

Thanks. That is correct \$45M, however, as we are already running the numbers for this FFS, i.e. disposal numbers in today's rates, we are most likely > \$50M for a full disposal remedy.

---

**From:** Yi, Ji-Sun <[Yi.Ji-Sun@epa.gov](mailto:Yi.Ji-Sun@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2022 3:42 PM  
**To:** Salkie, Diane <[Salkie.Diane@epa.gov](mailto:Salkie.Diane@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Woodbrook - remedy cost

Hi Diane,

Great job on the briefing to Larry and Dana today, I'm glad we landed on solid next steps.

I just wanted to check in with you re: the remedy cost for Woodbrook. I thought in total from the 2018 ESD, it was \$45M, so under the \$50M threshold. Has that changed? Do you think with the FFS, we are looking at possibly having a preferred alternative at or above the \$50M threshold? (And so should follow the \$50M process for Administrator approval?)

Thanks,  
Sun

~~~~~

Sun Yi  
Office of Land and Emergency Management  
OSRTI/ARD/SARDB  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

202.566.0969